Proof-of-concept single-arm trial of bevacizumab therapy for brain arteriovenous malformation
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ABSTRACT

Brain arteriovenous malformations (bAVMs) are relatively rare, although their potential for secondary intracranial haemorrhage (ICH) makes their diagnosis and management essential to the community. Currently, invasive therapies (surgical resection, stereotactic radiosurgery and endovascular embolisation) are the only treatments that reduce risk of bAVM rupture. 7–9,11 Unfortunately, an appreciable fraction of patients (≈20%) cannot be offered safe, effective intervention due to the size, location and/or characteristics of the bAVM (eg, large nidus, deep venous drainage, eloquent location). 12,13 Furthermore, treatment of unruptured bAVMs—roughly half of all cases—has become increasingly controversial because the natural history for these patients may be less morbid than invasive therapies themselves. 8,14 In the prospective, randomised ARUBA trial (A Randomised trial of Unruptured Brain Arteriovenous malformations), death or symptomatic stroke occurred in 10.1% of patients receiving medical (symptomatic) management alone, compared with 30.7% in patients receiving interventional management. 14,15 This seminal work shapes our current management of patients with bAVM, encouraging a shift towards conservative management in patients who might have historically undergone partial or palliative intervention.

A growing body of literature demonstrates that bAVMs are dynamically evolving, actively inflammatory, angiogenic lesions, akin to a slow-growing vascular tumour. 16–19 Despite the availability of a number of promising drugs targeting angiogenesis, inflammation and vessel integrity, there is currently no medical therapy approved to treat bAVMs. One such promising medical therapy is the angiogenesis inhibitor bevacizumab (Avastin), a recombinant humanised monoclonal antibody that blocks angiogenesis by inhibiting vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) A. Bevacizumab is Food and Drug Administration approved for the treatment of several different cancers, but has also been used off-label to successfully treat hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT), an autosomal dominant disease characterised

INTRODUCTION

Brain arteriovenous malformation (bAVM) is a rare disease with a population prevalence of 10–18 per 100 000 people. 1–3 These complex tangles of abnormal, dilated vessels are a significant source of intracranial haemorrhage (ICH) with annual risk of rupture estimated to be 1%–2% per year for previously unruptured bAVMs. 4–8

ICH is the most significant source of morbidity and mortality in patients with bAVMs. 9 Currently, interventional therapies (surgical resection, stereotactic radiosurgery and endovascular embolisation) are the
by vascular malformations including bAVMs. High expression levels of VEGF have been reported both in resected bAVM tissue and in plasma from patients with HHT. Several studies have reported significant improvements in HHT-related symptoms with bevacizumab use, including decreases in frequency and severity of epistaxis, improvement in chronic anaemia and need for blood transfusion, and improvement in liver AVM-related heart failure. A phase II study showed that six doses of bevacizumab administered intravenously over 12 weeks normalised cardiac output in patients with liver AVM-related heart failure, with a roughly 20% reduction in cardiac output compared with pretreatment values. This was probably largely due to a decrease in shunting through the liver AVMs, although other possible explanations were not evaluated.

Bevacizumab has been associated with reversal of vascular dysplasia in animal models of bAVM, and case reports of bevacizumab use in patients with sporadic bAVM for adverse radiation effects have demonstrated a reduction in perilesional oedema by imaging and marked improvement in symptoms. However, there have been no clinical trials that aim to determine the effect of the anti-VEGF antibody in these patients. The present study fills an important gap in knowledge by determining the feasibility and safety of bevacizumab treatment in two patients with large inoperable bAVMs.

**METHODS**

**Study overview**

This study is a single-armed pilot study testing the impact of administration of intravenous bevacizumab over the course of 12 weeks. Patients with bAVMs were identified from the prospective University of California, San Francisco Brain AVM Project registry and were reviewed and screened for their ability to comply with all research and clinical procedures. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are outlined in box 1.

**Study procedures**

Table 1 outlines the schedule of assessments, examinations and laboratory testing participants underwent during the study interval. Bevacizumab (5 mg/kg every 2 weeks) was administered by intravenous infusion over the course of 12 weeks with observation over a 40-week follow-up period; this dose and schedule was chosen to emulate the HHT hepatic AVM phase II trial given the success that study documented as well as the absence of significant adverse side effects. Screening history and physical examination were performed within 14 days of initial treatment, and interim history and physical examination were repeated every other week for 12 weeks prior to bevacizumab infusions. Routine labs were monitored every other week for 12 weeks, and then in follow-up at 26 weeks, and at 52 weeks. Angiogenic and inflammatory markers were monitored at baseline, 12 weeks, 26 weeks and 52 weeks. Patients were followed weekly for toxicities for the first 16 weeks and again at 26 and 52 weeks. All patient records were reviewed weekly by the principal investigator for adverse events.

**Imaging/Volume measurements**

MR time-of-flight (TOF) imaging, standard T1-weighted and T2-weighted sequences and iron-sensitive sequences were obtained at the baseline examination, 26 weeks and 52 weeks. A subspecialty-certified neuroradiologist (DLC) reviewed all MRI sequences to assess for bAVM volume, silent haemorrhage and vessel wall changes. bAVM volume was assessed by review of standardised 1.5 mm slices in the axial plane. A cross-sectional area of the bAVM nidus at each slice level was acquired via TOF MR angiography

---

**Box 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

**Inclusion criteria**

2. Age >18 years at time of first study drug administration.
4. Progressive or disabling signs and symptoms as determined by the study investigators.
5. Adequate bone marrow function (white blood cells >3000/µL, absolute neutrophil count >1500/mm³, platelet count >100 000/mm³ and haemoglobin >0.1 g/L), adequate liver function (serum glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase and bilirubin <1.5 times upper limit of normal) and adequate renal function (creatinine <1.5 mg/dL) within 14 days before starting therapy.

**Exclusion criteria**

1. Diffuse lesion that cannot be assessed in terms of volume by cross-sectional imaging on MRI.
2. Inability to undergo MRI scans.
3. Urine protein >100 mg/dL or >2+ protein (depending on units used in assay).
4. Coagulation disorders, for example, thrombocytopenia, coagulopathy or antiocoagulant therapy (Plavix and aspirin are not excluded).
5. Unstable medical or psychiatric illness.
6. Haemorrhagic presentation.
7. Atrial fibrillation.
8. Clinically significant thrombotic episode within the last 24 weeks.
9. Inadequately controlled hypertension (defined as systolic blood pressure >150 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure >100 mm Hg on antihypertensive medications, hypertensive crisis or hypertensive encephalopathy).
10. Major cardiovascular or peripheral vascular disease.*
11. Major surgical procedure, open biopsy or significant traumatic injury within 4 weeks of beginning bevacizumab or the anticipation of need for major surgical procedure during the course of the study.
12. Core biopsy or other minor surgical procedure, within 7 days days prior to bevacizumab.
13. Serious, non-healing wound, ulcer or bone fracture.
14. Pregnant or breast feeding. Effective contraception (men and women) must be used in subjects of childbearing potential.
15. On any other experimental agents/clinical trials.

*New York Heart Association grade II or greater congestive heart failure, myocardial infarction or unstable angina within 12 months prior to study enrolment, symptomatic peripheral vascular disease.
sequences. Volumes were estimated by summing the imputed volume of each slice. Silent haemorrhage was assessed by review of susceptibility-weighted imaging for signal loss from hemosiderin. High-resolution vessel wall imaging MRI was obtained using a black-blood pregadolinium and postgadolinium 0.6 mm isotropic 3D CUBE T1-weighted sequence. Two neuroradiologists (DLC and LE) identified the number of feeding arteries and draining veins and the distribution and degree of AVM postcontrast hyperintensity at and around the vessel wall. Vessel wall hyperintensity inside and outside of the nidus was ranked on a 5-point scale.

**Analysis**

Safety of bevacizumab treatment was assessed via interim histories, toxicity assessments, physical examination, routine basic laboratory measurements and NIH Stroke Scale Assessments. The preliminary primary efficacy end point of the study was change in bAVM volume from pretreatment MRI at 26 and 52 weeks. A sample size of 10 patients was proposed in order to provide 82% power to detect a 13% change in bAVM volume. A preliminary secondary efficacy outcome was change in serum VEGF level at 12, 26 and 52 weeks. Efficacy end points were compared descriptively. All data were tabulated and descriptive statistics generated using Microsoft Excel.

**RESULTS**

**Subjects**

Twenty-one patients were screened and 15 patients screened eligible to participate in the study. Due to limitations of funding unrelated to study design or drug safety, two patients were consented by the research physician to participate in this pilot drug study. Subject 1 was a middle-aged patient with medical history of obesity and depression who presented with a large, symptomatic, left frontoparietal AVM (Spetzler-Martin grade V), which was formally diagnosed in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessments/Procedures</th>
<th>Screen</th>
<th>W2 #1</th>
<th>W4 #2</th>
<th>W6 #3</th>
<th>W8 #4</th>
<th>W10 #5</th>
<th>W12 #6</th>
<th>W14</th>
<th>W16</th>
<th>W26</th>
<th>W52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Written informed consent/</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIPPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion/Exclusion criteria</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline medical history and</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>physical examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical examination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim history</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicity assessment, adverse experiences, modified Rankin score</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH Stroke Scale, Neuro-QoL Questionnaire</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administer study drug</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory evaluation (plasma angiogenic/inflammatory markers): VEGF, FGF2, CRP, ESR, IL-1, IL-2, IL-6, MPO, TNF-α</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory evaluations*: complete blood count with differential, creatinine, blood urea nitrogen, liver function tests, prothrombin time, partial thromboplastin time</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory evaluations*: urine protein/creatinine ratio (urine dipstick for protein prior to infusions)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy test (if applicable)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Completed within 3 days of bevacizumab infusion when applicable.
CRP, C reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FGF2, fibroblast growth factor 2; IL, interleukin; MPO, myeloperoxidase; Neuro-QoL, Quality of Life in Neurologic Disorders; NIH, National Institutes of Health; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor α; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
approximately 30 years prior when the patient began having seizures. Since diagnosis, the patient experienced progressive weakness of the right arm and leg. Seizures were controlled with phenytoin extended-release 400 mg daily and phenobarbitol 100 mg daily. The patient denied all other medical history or neurological symptoms. The patient had neither an AVM-related haemorrhage nor any attempt at definitive AVM treatment prior to start of the trial.

Cerebral angiography 10 years prior to this study revealed a large AVM in the left frontoparietal region with arterial supply from the left anterior cerebral, middle cerebral and posterior cerebral arteries. Baseline MR immediately prior to this study confirmed the presence a large left frontoparietal AVM centred within the paracentral lobule with markedly dilated left anterior and posterior circulation arterial supply and superficial and deep venous drainage.

Subject 2 was a middle-aged patient who presented with a large, symptomatic, right temporal bAVM (Spetzler-Martin grade IV). The patient reported migraines for 15 years and seizures for 11 years. Seizures reported to occur one to two times per month, refractory to levetiracetam 500 mg two times per day. The patient endorsed light and sound sensitivity, dizziness, nausea and occasional left arm numbness that lasted a few minutes per episode, and denied all other medical history or neurological symptoms. The patient had neither an AVM-related haemorrhage nor any attempt at definitive AVM treatment prior to start of the trial.

Baseline MR (figure 2) revealed a large right temporal lobe AVM with arterial supply from right middle cerebral artery, right anterior cerebral arteries, right posterior cerebral artery and right external carotid artery. Deep venous drainage of the AVM was identified via the basal vein of Rosenthal into the vein of Galen and straight sinus. Superficial venous drainage into cortical veins over the parietal and occipital lobes was noted.

Analysis of treatment safety
Bevacizumab treatment was well tolerated in both subjects, as assessed by interim histories, toxicity assessments, physical examination, routine basic laboratory measurements and NIH Stroke Scale Assessments. Subject 2 was noted to have an increase in total bilirubin to a maximum of 2.4 (direct bilirubin remained normal) while the study drug was being administered, which resolved by the 26-week laboratory check. Both patients had seizure events during the study interval which were consistent with their previous pattern of epilepsy. Otherwise, no clinically important adverse events were observed during the 52-week study period.

Analysis of treatment efficacy
The preliminary primary outcome of the study was change in bAVM volume from pretreatment MRI. Baseline MR imaging revealed a bAVM volume of 35.8 cc in subject 1

Figure 1  Composite image (patient 1) demonstrating representative coronal (A) and sagittal (B) reformatrs from a three-dimensional whole brain black blood variable flip angle T1-weighted fast spin echo sequence (CUBE). Representative high-resolution T1 precontrast (C) and postcontrast (D) series demonstrating nidal vessel wall enhancement.
and 36.9 cc in subject 2. Volume measurements at 26 and 52 weeks revealed no change in bAVM volume.

A preliminary secondary outcome of the study was serum VEGF levels, measured at baseline, 12 weeks, 26 weeks and 52 weeks. Table 2 outlines the inflammatory and angiogenic markers tracked during this study. Notably, both subjects had normal/high VEGF levels at baseline, low VEGF levels at 26 weeks and normal/high VEGF levels at 52 weeks.

Vessel wall imaging
Iron-sensitive imaging revealed no bleeding beyond that found in baseline imaging. Neither subject had perivascular enhancement outside of the nidus. There were no observed changes in vessel wall hyperintensity.

DISCUSSION
In the first clinical trial to date of anti-VEGF therapy in patients with bAVM, we found that bevacizumab treatment was not associated with adverse events in two patients with large, unresectable bAVMs. Given the substantial percentage of patients with bAVM with unresectable lesions due to bAVM size, location and/or other characteristics, there has been increasing interest in disease-modifying medical therapies to reduce the risk of bAVM haemorrhage. Despite the clear need for medical therapeutics, a recent study identifies 38 active bAVM clinical trials, only the present trial has investigated medical management.

Currently, therapeutic targets are largely being aimed at the underlying pathways known to impact the bAVM lifecycle and the integrity of surrounding brain parenchyma. Specifically, in vitro studies have focused on bAVM mechanisms for growth and rupture including overexpression of angiogenic factors, stabilising the blood-brain barrier and inhibition of matrix metalloproteinases. Anti-angiogenic agents have been quickest to use in patients with bAVM, given the growing collection of series documenting the presence of RAS and RAS-related gene mutations in brain and spine AVMs. The RAS signalling pathway includes the RAF-MEK-MAPK/ERK cascade, which has been shown to impact VEGF expression and vice versa. As such, therapeutics targeting RASopathies, whether through inhibiting VEGF (bevacizumab), BRAF (vemurafenib) or MEK (trametinib), have gained increasing interest. These efforts are encouraging, such that RAS-modifying medications are now used in specific, compassionate use instances. For example, Edwards et al described a quantitative response to the MEK inhibitor, trametinib, in a child with a large, thoracic metameric AVM. As promising as RAS-based therapies for AVMs might be, RAS superfamily mutations are not identified in a fraction of cases. This may be related to tissue heterogeneity but may also indicate that there are multiple genetic drivers of AVM formation, growth and rupture. Promising studies of thalidomide and rapamycin for AVM indicate a role for therapeutic targets outside of the RAS superfamily. Further study is needed to

Figure 2 Composite image (patient 2) demonstrating representative coronal (A) and sagittal (B) reformats from a three-dimensional whole brain black blood variable flip angle T1-weighted fast spin echo sequence (CUBE). Representative high-resolution T1 precontrast (C) and postcontrast (D) series demonstrating nidal vessel wall enhancement.
characterise the genomic and somatic drivers of bAVMs and to aid in identifying subpopulations more and less susceptible to medical and potential gene therapies.

There have been several case reports of bevacizumab use in patients with sporadic bAVM for treatment of adverse radiation effects after radiosurgery.29 30 Treatment with bevacizumab (2.5 mg/kg, followed 1 week later by 7.5 mg/kg) resulted in marked improvement in symptoms (severe headache and hemiparesis refractory to steroid therapy and pain management) and resolution of perilesional oedema by imaging.30 Interestingly, 1 year after gamma knife radiosurgery and 10 weeks after bevacizumab treatment, angiography demonstrated nidus obliteration and no evidence of arteriovenous shunting. The relative contribution of the radiosurgical effect and any potential anti-VEGF effect cannot be separated, but it commonly takes several years for nidus obliteration to be achieved after radiosurgery. Deibert et al similarly reported mean reductions in adverse radiation effects as measured by contrast enhancement and T2 hyperintensity in four patients with bAVMs who received an average dose of 4.4 mg/kg of bevacizumab for an average of 2.5 doses.29 The authors did not report change in bAVM volume, as it was not the scope of the research, although all four patients improved clinically and no adverse events were reported.

There has been concern over the use of bevacizumab in patients with intracranial disease because of the potential increased risk of bleeding. Case reports have described instances of ICH in patients with small cell carcinoma and colon cancer treated with bevacizumab.46 47 Until recently, these concerns have led to the routine exclusion of patients with brain metastases from bevacizumab trials, despite large studies that have found no relationship between bevacizumab treatment and ICH.48 A similar argument has been made to exclude patients with bAVMs from bevacizumab trials. In a trial of bevacizumab for patients with liver-AVM-related heart failure, bevacizumab was found to normalise cardiac output, but patients with bAVMs were excluded from this trial.21 Currently, there is no literature noting an increased rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Inflammatory/Angiogenic markers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marker (reference range)</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF (pg/mL) (31–86)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>129 (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF2 (pg/mL) (&lt;6.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>30 (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/L) (&lt;3.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>5.6 (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm/hour) (&lt;20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2 (pg/mL) (&lt;38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>&lt;38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>&lt;38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/mL) (0.31–5.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β (pg/mL) (&lt;3.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>&lt;3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>&lt;3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO (AI) (&lt;1.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α (pg/mL) (0.56–1.40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>&lt;1 (L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These data were not collected.

AI, antibody index; CRP, C reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FGF2, fibroblast growth factor 2; H, high; IL, interleukin; L, low; MPO, myeloperoxidase; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor α; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
of AVM-related haemorrhage with bevacizumab therapy; the present study is consistent with the existing, although limited data.

Due to limitations of funding and patient recruitment, our study did not meet the goal of 10 patients. Thus, while this is a major step in the medical management of bAVMs, our study is underpowered to detect the hypothesised change in bAVM volume. Our safety data, and specifically the lack of ICH noted in our patients, is an important data point, but future larger trials are warranted to more comprehensively assess this risk. With respect to funding, this trial was designed with support from industry sponsors, which was ultimately withdrawn for reasons unrelated to study design or drug safety, leading to inadequate funding to support a larger trial. While recruitment was not the limiting factor, this trial had very narrow inclusion criteria which could be broadened to increase catchment in future trials. Additionally, given the relatively large volume bAVMs in our study participants, it may be more difficult to appreciate small changes in bAVM volume. Future trials might consider including patients with smaller bAVMs. A final limitation of this trial is the use of only one dosing schedule, limiting the ability to assess the efficacy of bevacizumab in reducing ICH risk in patients with bAVM.

This single-arm pilot study is the first to test anti-VEGF therapy in patients with bAVM, and one of very few studies to attempt medical therapy of any kind in this patient population. Results from this pilot study lay the foundation for larger trials, with the goal of broadening bAVM management strategies and offering, for the first time, a medical therapy for patients with bAVMs that are not amenable to surgical management.
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