

## REFERENCES

- Jokubaitis V, et al. Predictors of long-term disability accrual in relapse-onset multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2016;**80**(1):89–100.
- Butzkueven H, et al. MSBase: an international, online registry and platform for collaborative outcomes research in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2016;**12**(6):769–74.
- Cawthon RM. Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2002;**30**:e47–e47.

2233

### UPDATED RESULTS OF THE COVID-19 IN MS GLOBAL DATA SHARING INITIATIVE: ANTI-CD20 DMTs DELETERIOUS FOR COVID-19 SEVERITY BUT INTERFERONS NOT PROTECTIVE AMONG PEOPLE WITH MS

<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>Steve Simpson-Yap, <sup>5,6</sup>Ashkan Pirmani, <sup>1,4,7</sup>Tomas Kalincik, <sup>5</sup>Edward De Brouwer, <sup>6</sup>Lotte Geys, <sup>6,8</sup>Tina Parciak, <sup>9</sup>Anne Helme, <sup>9</sup>Nick Rijke, <sup>10</sup>Jan Hillert, <sup>5</sup>Yves Moreau, <sup>11</sup>Gilles Edan, <sup>10,12</sup>Tim Spelman, <sup>4</sup>Sifat Sharmin, <sup>13</sup>Robert McBurney, <sup>13</sup>Hollie Schmidt, <sup>14</sup>Arnfin Bergmann, <sup>14</sup>Stefan Braune, <sup>15</sup>Alexander Stahmann, <sup>16,17</sup>Amber Salter, <sup>16</sup>Bruce Bebo, <sup>18</sup>Anneke Van der Walt, <sup>18</sup>Helmut Butzkueven, <sup>19</sup>Serkan Ozakbas, <sup>20</sup>Rana Karabudak, <sup>21</sup>Raed Alroughani, <sup>22,23</sup>Juan I Rojas, <sup>24</sup>Ingrid Van Der Mei, <sup>25</sup>Guilherme Sciascia do Olival, <sup>26</sup>Melinda Magyari, <sup>23,27</sup>Ricardo Alonso, <sup>28,29,30</sup>Richard Nicholas, <sup>31</sup>Anibal Chertcoff, <sup>32</sup>Ana Zabalza, <sup>32</sup>Georgina Arrambide, <sup>3</sup>Nupur Nag, <sup>33</sup>Annabel Descamps, <sup>33</sup>Lars Costers, <sup>34</sup>Ruth Dobson, <sup>35</sup>Aleisha Miller, <sup>36</sup>Paolo Rodriguez, <sup>36</sup>Vesna Prchokovska, <sup>37</sup>Giancarlo Comi, <sup>1</sup>Liesbet Peeters. <sup>1</sup>The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia; <sup>2</sup>Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Hobart; <sup>3</sup>Neuroepidemiology Unit, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Carlton, VIC, Australia; <sup>4</sup>CORE, Department of Medicine, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia; <sup>5</sup>ESAT-STADIUS, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; <sup>6</sup>Biomedical Research Institute – Data Science Institute, Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium; <sup>7</sup>MS Centre, Department of Neurology, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, VIC, Australia; <sup>8</sup>Department of Medical Informatics, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany; <sup>9</sup>MS International Federation, London, UK; <sup>10</sup>Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Swedish MS Registry, Solna, Sweden; <sup>11</sup>Department of Neurology, CHU Pontchaillou, Rennes, France; <sup>12</sup>Karolinska Institutet, Solna, Sweden; <sup>13</sup>ConquerMS People-Powered Research Network, Accelerated Cure Project for MS, Waltham, USA; <sup>14</sup>NeuroTransData Study Group, NeuroTransData, Neuberg, Germany; <sup>15</sup>German MS-Register by the National MS Society, MS Forschungs- und Projektentwicklungs-gGmbH, Hannover, Germany; <sup>16</sup>COVIMS, Portland, USA; <sup>17</sup>Division of Biostatistics, Washington University in St Louis, St Louis, USA; <sup>18</sup>Department of Neuroscience, Central Clinical School, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; <sup>19</sup>Dokuz Eylul University, Izmir, Turkey; <sup>20</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Hacettepe, Ankara, Turkey; <sup>21</sup>Amiri Hospital, Kuwait City, Kuwait; <sup>22</sup>Neurology Department, Hospital Universitario de CEMIC, Buenos Aires, Argentina; <sup>23</sup>RELAcoEM, Buenos Aires, Argentina; <sup>24</sup>The Australian MS Longitudinal Study, Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS, Australia; <sup>25</sup>ABEM – Brazilian MS Patients Association, Sao Paulo, Brasil; <sup>26</sup>The Danish Multiple Sclerosis Registry, Department of Neurology, University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Glostrup, Denmark; <sup>27</sup>Multiple Sclerosis University Center, Ramos Mejia Hospital – EMA, Buenos Aires, Argentina; <sup>28</sup>UK MS Register, Swansea University, Swansea, UK; <sup>29</sup>Imperial College London, London, UK; <sup>30</sup>Swansea University, Swansea, UK; <sup>31</sup>MS and Demyelinating Diseases. Hospital Británico de Buenos Aires, EMA, Buenos Aires, Argentina; <sup>32</sup>Servei de Neurologia-Neuroimmunologia. Centre d'Esclerosi Múltiple de Catalunya, (Cemcat). Vall d'Hebron Institut de Recerca, Vall d'Hebron Hospital Universitari. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>33</sup>icomatrix, Leuven, Belgium; <sup>34</sup>Queen Mary University London, London, UK; <sup>35</sup>Imperial College London, London, UK; <sup>36</sup>QMENTA, Boston, USA; <sup>37</sup>Casa di Cura del Policlinico and Università Vita Salute San Raffaele, Milan, Italy

10.1136/bmjno-2022-ANZAN.25

**Objectives** Some disease-modifying therapies (DMTs) have been associated with COVID-19 severity in people with MS. Comprehensive exploration of these relationships in large international samples is needed.

**Methods** Clinician-reported demographic/clinical data from 27 countries were aggregated into a dataset of 5,648 patients with suspected/confirmed COVID-19. COVID-19 severity outcomes (hospitalisation, admission to ICU, requiring artificial ventilation, death) assessed using multilevel mixed-effect ordered probit and logistic regression, adjusted for age, sex, disability, and MS phenotype. DMTs were compared to

glatiramer acetate, dimethyl fumarate, pooled other DMTs, and natalizumab.

**Results** Of 5,648 patients (83.4% confirmed COVID-19) were included. Compared to glatiramer acetate, ocrelizumab and rituximab were associated with higher probability of hospitalisation (4%(95%CI=1–7) & 7%(95%CI=4–11)), ICU/artificial ventilation (2%(95%CI=0–4) & 4%(95%CI=2–6)), and death (1%(95%CI=0–2) & 2%(95%CI=1–4)) [predicted marginal effects]. Untreated patients had 5%(95%CI=2–8), 3%(95%CI=1–5), and 1%(95%CI=0–3) higher probabilities of the three respective levels of COVID-19 severity than glatiramer acetate. Compared to pooled other DMTs and to natalizumab, the associations of ocrelizumab and rituximab with COVID-19 severity were also more pronounced. Evaluation of interferon associations with COVID-19 severity found these only apparent in comparison with the untreated but not vs individual or pooled other DMTs. All associations persisted/enhanced on restriction to confirmed COVID-19.

**Conclusions** Analysing the largest international real-world dataset of people with MS with suspected/confirmed COVID-19 confirms that the use of anti-CD20 medication (both ocrelizumab and rituximab) are associated with more severe course of COVID-19, while interferon-based DMTs have no intrinsic protective benefit from other treatment.

2242

### COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF OCRELIZUMAB VS INTERFERON $\beta$ , FINGOLIMOD AND NATALIZUMAB ON RELAPSES IN RELAPSING-REMITTING MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Izanne Roos\*, <sup>1</sup>Sifat Sharmin, <sup>4,5</sup>Jeannette Lechner-Scott, <sup>2,3,6</sup>Katherine Buzzard, <sup>3,6,7</sup>Olga Skibina, <sup>7,8</sup>Anneke van der Walt, <sup>7,8</sup>Helmut Butzkueven, <sup>9</sup>Ernest Butler, <sup>10</sup>Richard MacDonell, <sup>11,12</sup>Pamela McCombe, <sup>13</sup>Mark Slee, <sup>1,2</sup>Charles Malpas, <sup>1,2</sup>Tomas Kalincik. <sup>1</sup>CORE Unit, Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurology, Box Hill Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; <sup>4</sup>School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia; <sup>5</sup>Department of Neurology, John Hunter Hospital, Hunter New England Health, Newcastle, NSW, Australia; <sup>6</sup>Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; <sup>7</sup>Department of Neurology, Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; <sup>8</sup>Central Clinical School, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; <sup>9</sup>Monash Medical Centre, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; <sup>10</sup>Department of Neurology, Austin Health, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; <sup>11</sup>Department of Neurology, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; <sup>12</sup>University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; <sup>13</sup>Flinders University, Adelaide, SA, Australia

10.1136/bmjno-2022-ANZAN.26

**Objective** To compare the effectiveness of ocrelizumab with interferon- $\beta$ , fingolimod and natalizumab in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (MS).

**Method** Using the MSBase registry, we identified patients with relapsing-remitting MS treated for  $\geq 6$  months with ocrelizumab, interferons (interferon  $\beta$ -1a, interferon  $\beta$ -1b subcutaneous or interferon  $\beta$ -1b intramuscular), fingolimod or natalizumab. Patients were matched with propensity score on baseline age, sex, MS duration, EDSS, relapse rate, prior therapy, disease activity, MRI, reason for discontinuation of preceding therapy and country. Annualised relapse rates (ARR) and cumulative hazard of relapses were compared in pairwise-censored groups.

**Results** 106 patients treated with ocrelizumab were matched with 209 patients on interferon therapies. Over a pairwise-censored mean follow-up of 1.3 years, ocrelizumab was associated with lower relapse rates (ARR 0.08 vs 0.27,  $p < 0.001$ ) and lower risk of relapse (HR 0.30, 95%CI 0.15–0.57) than