Opsoclonus myoclonus ataxia syndrome, ovarian teratoma and anti-NMDAR antibody: an ‘unresolved’ mystery
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ABSTRACT

Background Opsoclonus–myoclonus–ataxia syndrome (OMAS) is characterised by the combination of opsoclonus and arhythmic action myoclonus with axial ataxia and dystarthis. In adults, a majority are paraneoplastic secondary to solid organ tumours and could harbour antibodies against intracellular epitopes; however, certain proportions have detectable antibodies to various neuronal cell surface antigens. Anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDAR) antibodies and ovarian teratomas have been implicated in OMAS.

Methods Report of two cases and review of literature.

Results Two middle-aged women presented with subacute-onset, rapidly progressive OMAS and behavioural changes consistent with psychosis. The first patient had detectable antibodies to NMDAR in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) alone. Evaluation for ovarian teratoma was negative. The second patient had no detectable antibodies in serum or CSF; however, she had an underlying ovarian teratoma. Patient A was treated with pulse steroids, therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) followed by bortezomib (BOR) and dexamethasone, while patient B was treated with steroids, TPE followed by surgical resection of ovarian teratoma. Both patients had favourable outcomes and were asymptomatic at the 6 monthly follow-up.

Conclusions With coexistent neuropsychiatric manifestations, OMAS can be considered a distinct entity of autoimmune encephalitis, pathogenesis being immune activation against known/unknown neuronal cell surface antigens. The observation of absence of anti-NMDAR antibody in patients with teratoma-associated OMAS and vice versa is intriguing. Further research on the potential role of ovarian teratoma in evoking neuronal autoimmunity and its targets is required. The management challenge in both cases including the potential use of BOR has been highlighted.

INTRODUCTION

Opsoclonus–myoclonus–ataxia syndrome (OMAS) is a unique presentation of neurological disorders characterised by (1) opsoclonus (chaotic, conjugate and rapid involuntary eye movements without intersaccadic interval); (2) myoclonus (sudden jerky movements involving the axial and limb musculature); and (3) ataxia (appendicular and axial of varying severity). It is common in children than adults. In adults, after excluding structural lesions, the most common aetiologies include parainfectious (Salmonella, Coxsackie B3 virus, HIV, Ebstein-Barr virus, St. Louis encephalitis, falciparum malaria and scrub typhus) or paraneoplastic (carcinoma of the lung and breast, ovarian teratomas (mature or immature), renal cell cancers and pancreatic malignancies) causes, of which the paraneoplastic aetiology amounts to 60% of the cases.1 2 Regarding the pathogenesis, there are two major observations: (1) disinhibition of the fastigial nucleus, which is supported by the functional MRI studies on patients with OMAS in comparison with health subjects, and (2) immune hypothesis, where a proportion of these patients have identifiable antibodies against neuronal cell surface antigens and neurofilament antigens.2–5 However, research has failed to reveal a common neural antigen causing this unique presentation. Pranzatelli et al were able to demonstrate increased titres of CD19+ B cells among those children with neuroblastoma-associated paraneoplastic OMAS, and these children had a favourable response to B-cell depletion therapies.6 7 Overall, this entity could be considered in the spectrum of autoimmune (brainstem) encephalitis, with good response to immunotherapy and removal of neoplasm.1 8 9 Here we report two young women presenting with subacute-onset OMAS with psychiatric manifestations and seizures. We aim to highlight
a few interesting observations regarding the diagnostic workup and treatment in these patients.

CASE REPORT

Patient A

A 29-year-old woman presented with a 9-week history of ataxia with involuntary jerky movements of the eyes and trunk with behavioural disturbances in the form of increased fearfulness, anger outburst and violent behaviour towards her mother and husband. She had a history of acute onset of ataxia, about 3 years ago, which occurred immediately post partum and was managed as postinfectious cerebellitis with corticosteroids, with which she had complete clinical recovery. Clinical examination revealed that the patient had bilateral opsoclonus and action myoclonus with truncal and appendicular ataxia. She had bilateral dysdiadochokinesia with dysmetria with wide-based ataxic gait. Clinical features were consistent with OMAS and coexistent neuropsychiatric manifestations. Her MRI of the brain with contrast did not reveal any structural lesions. Her cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) studies showed four cells, all lymphocytes with elevated protein (protein 50 mg/dL and glucose 73 mg/dL). Autoimmune (including thyroid antibodies) and metabolic workup were negative. In view of the history of steroid-responsive cerebellar syndrome, a likely inflammatory aetiology was considered. She was initiated on pulse doses of methyl prednisolone (1000 mg intravenous methyl prednisolone for 5 days). Over the period of next 1 week, her behavioural disturbances worsened with severe agitation, hallucinations and violent behaviour, which were unresponsive to escalating doses of antipsychotics and benzodiazepines. On day 8, her autoantibody for NMDA receptor was reported positive in CSF (figure 1), with the corresponding serum sample being negative. Serum onconeural antibody profile (Euroimmune IgG, Lubeck, Germany; panel includes anti-Hu, Yo, CV2, Ri, Ma2, amphiphysin, SOX1, Tr, Recoverin, Zic4.

Figure 1  Cell-based assay using human embryonic kidney cells expressing GluN1 subunits of NMDAR. Undiluted CSF was tested as per manufacturer instructions (Euroimmune Ag, Lubeck, Germany). We classify the assay as strong positive when there is fluorescence signal which is >2+ intensity (ie, more than the intensity of the positive control given in the kit). CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; NMDAR, N-methyl-D-aspartate.
Titin and GAD65) was negative. Oncological evaluation with full-body positron emission tomography (PET) scan and pelvic ultrasound was negative for any neoplasm, specifically ovarian teratoma. Rituximab (RTX) infusion was planned; however, she developed an allergic reaction to the test dose. Neuropsychiatric manifestations continued to worsen, and she progressed to develop encephalopathy. TPE (under controlled sedation) was initiated following which mild stabilisation in clinical condition was noted. However, she continued to have OMAS and psychosis. Based on existing reports on the use of bortezomib (BOR) for refractory autoimmune (anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDAR)) encephalitis, it was decided to treat her with the regimen of subcutaneous BOR (1.3 mg/m²) and dexamethasone (20 mg) given on days 1, 4, 8 and 11 followed by 10 days of drug-free interval. The first cycle was started on hospitalisation day 18. Following initiation of BOR, she had remarkable recovery in the form of near-complete resolution of opsoconus, myoclonus and ataxia. Her agitation, restlessness and impulsivity reduced, and her antipsychotic doses were gradually decreased. BOR was stopped after two cycles and steroid doses were tapered and stopped. She was discharged after 34 days of hospitalisation and was largely asymptomatic with minor behavioural issues at 3 and 6 month follow-ups. She was well for 2 years and succumbed in the delta wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2021.

**Patient B**

A 28-year-old woman presented with a 10-week history of gait ataxia with involuntary movements of the body, diplopia with oscillopsia and seizures. She had developed new-onset behavioural changes with decreased interaction with family members, decreased sleep and anhedonia. She progressed to develop slurring of speech with cough on oral intake with nasal regurgitation. Clinical examination revealed her to be agitated and restless, with generalised tremors and action myoclonus. Cranial nerve examination revealed opsoconus with decreased palatal movements bilaterally and decreased gag reflex bilaterally. Motor system examination showed generalised dystonia with rigidity with Medical Research Council (MRC) grade 4/5 power in bilateral upper limbs and lower limbs. She had generalised hyper-reflexia with pendular knee jerk. She had bilateral cerebellar signs in the form of dysmetria, disequilibrium and impaired tandem walk. Her gait was wide-based ataxic, requiring assistance for ambulation. MRI of the brain with contrast did not reveal any structural lesions. CSF analysis showed normal cell counts with elevated protein (67 mg/dL). On day 6 of hospitalisation, autoimmune encephalitis panel and onconeural antibodies panel in serum and CSF were negative. Oncological evaluation with whole-body PET scan identified a right ovarian neoplasm. She progressed to develop worsening of opsoconus, tremors and myoclonus with behavioural disturbances in the form of agitation and hence was transferred to the high-dependency unit. She was initiated on TPE on day 10 of hospitalisation. She had five sessions of TPE followed by pulse intravenous methyl prednisolone (1000 mg once daily for 5 days). She was taken up post clinical stabilisation for right salpingo-oophorectomy. A 6×6 cm right ovarian cyst with solid component was removed and histopathology was consistent with mature cystic teratoma (figure 2), which showed the presence of mature neural elements surrounded by dense inflammatory infiltrates. Postoperatively, she had dramatic clinical recovery with near-complete resolution of opsoconus and ataxia. Steroids were gradually tapered and stopped. RTX was administered due to initial reservations about the possibility of a relapse. She was asymptomatic at the follow-ups of 3 and 6 months. Further immunotherapy was not continued, and at 2 years of follow-up, she reported being normal and independent for all activities of daily living.

**DISCUSSION**

These two cases highlight few interesting observations. Both patients had OMAS and prominent neuropsychiatric manifestations. CSF anti-NMDAR antibody was positive in the first patient who did not have a teratoma, and the second patient had a teratoma without any demonstrable antibodies. Management in both cases was challenging in view of the progression and coexistent psychosis despite high doses of antipsychotic agents. The planning of surgical removal of teratoma is challenging and often has to be prompt despite the severity of the illness. We were especially concerned with use of pulse steroids in the setting of psychosis. Both patients had good outcome with a multimodality treatment approach including judicious use of steroids, TPE (under controlled sedation), immunosuppressants (BOR and RTX) and tumour removal (patient B).

**Figure 2** H&E section of right ovarian cyst showing mature cystic teratoma at ×40 magnification. Cyst is lined mainly by columnar epithelium. The stroma shows mature cartilage, mature nervous tissue inciting a lymphocytic response and with no abnormal dystrophic neurons, lobules of mature adipocytes, smooth muscle bundles and lymphoid aggregates. A, neural tissue with no abnormal dystrophic neurons; B, lymphocytic response to neural tissue.
with antibody positivity and with clinical presentation as OMAS, among which one reported case is from the paediatric age group. In a large cohort of patients with teratoma-associated encephalitis (211 patients), the novel presentation as brainstem–cerebellar syndrome with opsoclonus was seen in 58% of those who were negative for the anti-NMDAR antibodies (22 of 38 patients), with none in the antibody-positive group having a similar presentation. The mechanism of occurrence of this brainstem–cerebellar syndrome appears to be due to the dysfunction of omnipause neurons in the brainstem (parapontine reticular formation) and involvement of the fastigial nucleus. An unknown neuronal cell membrane-based antibody in conjunction with the anti-NMDAR antibody seems to be the most plausible explanation for this interesting observation. One hypothesis implicated the pathogenic role of glycine receptor (GlyR) antibodies in this scenario. Glycine is the chief neurotransmitter of the omnipause neurons, which in turn modulate the burst neurons initiating saccades. However, due to non-availability of the test in our centre, we could not test our hypothesis that this could be associated with OMAS. It is unlikely that the anti-NMDAR antibody is an epiphénomemon, considering the coexistent neuropsychiatric manifestations and the presence of antibodies in CSF. The outcomes of teratoma-associated OMAS are remarkable with immunotherapy, and almost 75% have complete recovery at a median follow-up of 15 months.

The association of ovarian teratoma with NMDAR encephalitis is intriguing; not all teratomas potentially lead to development of autoimmune encephalitis (AIE). Dabner et al compared the histopathology of teratomas associated with anti-NMDAR encephalitis with sporadic control teratomas and showed prominent intratumoral lymphoid infiltrate closely clustered around the mature neuroglial elements. They further hypothesised that this histology might be a harbinger to develop AIE, post resection of tumour. Presence of NR1 and NR2B receptor-positive neural elements and predominant CD4-positive lymphocytic infiltration has been independently associated with development of antibodies against NMDAR subunits and overt clinical disease. It is interesting to note that neuroglial elements are integral parts of a teratoma and are present in 30%–50% of cases; however, not all cases with teratoma and neuroglial elements progress to develop AIE. In their study, Day et al have shown that presence of abnormal dysplastic neurons with binucleation or multinucleation, dysmorphism and inappropriate clustering appears to be the focus for immune sensitisation and breakdown of self-tolerance. It is also worthwhile to note that the dense inflammatory response around the dysplastic/tumour-like neural elements in teratoma acts similarly to tertiary lymphoid organs producing antibodies in response to chronic inflammation caused by the persistent antigenic trigger. Comparing this with our case, we do prove the presence of the mature neuroglial elements and the inflammatory infiltrate around the neural elements in the teratoma.

We also report the good clinical improvement with BOR in the OMAS associated with anti-NMDAR encephalitis. BOR targets the antibody secreting plasma cells, making it a potential second-line therapy in those resistant to or in those with intolerance to RTX. Considering the relatively quick onset of action, BOR can be considered as a potential therapeutic option in antibody-mediated neurological disorders. A synergistic effect with RTX could also be present. Antimicrobial prophylaxis with acyclovir and cotrimoxazole is usually administered during BOR therapy. Long-term use of BOR is to be discouraged, considering risks of immunosuppression, reactivation of infections and dose-related peripheral neuropathy. The recovery in the second case was dramatic postsurgical resection, implying the autoimmune trigger to be the teratoma itself. Hence, there may not be a role for long-term immunotherapy in this scenario as observed in our case.

CONCLUSIONS

Our cases highlight this novel presentation of brainstem–cerebellar syndrome (OMAS) among patients with treatment-responsive autoimmune encephalitis. It is to be noted that patients with teratoma-associated OMAS and coexistent neuropsychiatric manifestations are negative for the anti-NMDAR antibody, and those with the antibody and OMAS do not have a teratoma. This implies that a yet unidentified neuronal cell membrane (such as GlyR antibody)-directed antibody might be implicated in OMAS even in cases with anti-NMDAR antibody. Teratoma associated with anti-NMDAR antibody has unique histopathological characteristics and it functions as a tertiary lymphoid organ, causing break in immune self-tolerance. Judicious use of immunotherapy often translates into good clinical outcomes.
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