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ABSTRACT

More than 3 million Australians are estimated to have migraine disorders, and over a quarter of a million Australians are estimated to have medication overuse headache (MOH). The personal, societal and economic burden of MOH is high. MOH impacts an individual’s ability to work or study, care for family or themselves, culminating in poor quality of life. Accurate and timely diagnosis and treatment of MOH are imperative.

INTRODUCTION

Medication overuse headache (MOH) is described in the latest International Classification of Headache Disorders third edition (ICHD-3) criteria as a secondary headache disorder that occurs as a consequence of the overuse of medication to alleviate symptoms of a primary headache disorder, such as chronic migraine or tension-type headache. Patients caught in this vicious cycle may experience increasing frequency and severity of headache despite taking increasing and excessive amounts of acute headache medication.1-3

The pathophysiology of MOH is poorly understood.2 Central sensitisation is thought to play an important role given that patients with migraines or tension-type headache appear more prone to developing the secondary headache disorder.2

Migraine is the leading cause of disability in Australia.4 According to 2019 estimates, more than 3.41 (3.995–2.931 IU) million Australians are affected by migraine, and with a global prevalence of 1%-2%, an estimated quarter of a million of these Australians have MOH.3-6

For patients with MOH, withdrawal failures and relapse rates remain high,6-9 likely because patients often experience high rates of monthly migraine days (MMDs) despite medication withdrawal.9-10 Successful treatment may be further complicated by comorbidities of MOH, such as anxiety, depression and subclinical obsessive-compulsive disorders.2,10

In Australia, real-world evidence demonstrates a high rate of opioid use in the treatment of acute migraine in the emergency setting.11 12 The popularity of self-medication with easily available over-the-counter analgesics13 may also contribute to the national burden of MOH.

Although MOH accounts for a large proportion of referrals to specialist headache clinics,14 the advent of newer, highly effective migraine-preventive medications may help general neurology practices better manage MOH.

KEY POINTS

⇒ Medication overuse headache (MOH) is a complex condition associated with high rates of withdrawal failure and relapse.

⇒ Treatment of MOH involves withdrawal of the causative acute migraine medication with the aim to cease medication overuse and reduce monthly migraine days to a pattern of well-controlled episodic migraine.

⇒ Education plays a vital role in engaging and motivating people to be proactive in effectively managing MOH and preventing relapses, and in supporting withdrawal compliance.

⇒ Preventive treatment with topiramate, onabotulinumtoxinA or anti-calcitonin gene-related peptide monoclonal antibodies can be effective in supporting withdrawal compliance and potentially increasing MOH remission rates.
This viewpoint article provides an overview of the assessment and management of MOH in Australia. For an in-depth discussion about MOH, the recent review from Dr Sun-Edelstein et al is recommended.9

**ASSESSING MOH IN CLINICAL PRACTICE**
Diagnosis of MOH is based on a detailed clinical history with meticulous attention to medication use and symptoms. Asking the relevant questions and recording the responses are key. The question guide in figure 1 has been developed by the authors as a practical tool to guide diagnostic discussions in practice.

The ICHD-3 describes MOH as headache occurring on 15 or more days/month in a patient with a pre-existing primary headache and developing as a consequence of regular overuse of acute or symptomatic headache medication (figure 2).1

Cannabis use has been associated with MOH according to a recent study conducted at Stanford University.15 The finding of this study is of interest as it has been suggested that paracetamol, known to be associated with MOH, exerts its effect via the cannabinoid receptor type 1.16

**MANAGEMENT OF MOH**
Treatment of MOH involves several steps, all aimed at ceasing medication overuse and reducing MMDs to a pattern consistent with well-controlled episodic migraine (figure 3).9 Education is the key initial step to managing MOH and can be accomplished in both primary care and specialist settings.9 Patient education is integral to

---

**Figure 1** Example of questions to assist with diagnosis of MOH. MOH, medication overuse headache.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Diagnostic criteria</th>
<th>Helpful tip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How many headache days are you having each month on average?</td>
<td><strong>Diagnostic criteria:</strong> Headache occurs on ≥15 or more days per month.</td>
<td><strong>Helpful tip:</strong> Ask the patient to keep a headache diary to obtain an accurate account of the number of headaches they are experiencing in a month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many ‘crystal clear’ days do you have each month?</td>
<td><strong>Helpful tip:</strong> ‘Crystal clear days’ are those where the patient has no headache or headache-related symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many days are you taking medication for your headache?</td>
<td><strong>Diagnostic criteria:</strong> Regular use for &gt;3 months of: simple analgesics on ≥15 days/month OR ergotamines, triptans, opioids or combination-analgesics on ≥10 days/month.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What medications do you take on these days? How many days do you take each of these medications?</td>
<td><strong>Diagnostic criteria:</strong> Asking about number of days of use of multiple medications can help identify individuals with MOH attributed to multiple drug classes not individually overused.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What brought you here to see me today?</td>
<td><strong>Helpful tip:</strong> Worsening headaches may be an indicator that the patient is at risk of MOH.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you use cannabis or cannabis derivatives for any reason (recreational or therapeutic)?</td>
<td><strong>Helpful tip:</strong> Cannabis use can be associated with MOH.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you taking pain relievers for any conditions other than headache?</td>
<td><strong>Helpful tip:</strong> Intake of pain medications for non-headache conditions can contribute to MOH in individuals with pre-existing headache disorders.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
engaging and motivating patients to be proactive partners in their healthcare. Motivated individuals have the ability and commitment to self-manage migraines, engage in activities that can improve migraines and be involved in treatment decisions. While concerns remain that some patients may cease acute medications and ‘suffer in silence’, the potential for this can be minimised with education about the importance of open communication between the clinician and patient. Open and clear communication is imperative when educating about migraine and MOH. However, there is no ‘one-size-fits-all’ strategy, and communication must be individualised.

When approaching MOH education, clinicians should use a patient-centred approach.
► Get to know an individual’s level of understanding and engagement.
► Use open-ended questions to explore beliefs or barriers that exist towards treatment.
► Repeat education across multiple visits over the treatment course. It can be difficult for individuals to recall everything they have been told during a consultation.
► Provide written materials and use audio-visual aids where possible.
► Be non-judgemental and show empathy. This is imperative in establishing an open and honest patient–neurologist relationship.

Withdrawal of acute medication is the treatment of choice for MOH. However, this can be an anxious time for the affected individual. They are likely to be apprehensive and resistant to the idea of withdrawal. Reassuring individuals that they will be well supported throughout their withdrawal, including descriptions of what that support will look like, is important. Clinicians should schedule regular appointments with individuals undergoing withdrawal to follow progress and provide additional education and motivation to adhere to appropriate behaviours.

Reassure patients that this follow-up is a means of support and not oversight.

Medication withdrawal strategies include:
► Graded reduction in the dose and frequency of acute medication.
► Abrupt withdrawal of analgesics (this is not an appropriate strategy for individuals withdrawing from codeine or narcotics).
► Bridging therapy may be added to either of the above strategies, to assist with managing withdrawal headaches.

Bridging therapy is an especially important consideration in abrupt withdrawal. Patients should be counselled on the type of medication to use, the dose and frequency of usage.

Bridging therapies for individuals with triptan or non-opioid analgesia MOH include naproxen and prednisolone. Naproxen 750 mg sustained release can be taken orally, once daily for 5 days in the first week, then once daily for 3–4 days in the next 2 weeks, before stopping. (This article discusses off-label use of some medicines based on the authors’ clinical experience (e.g., naproxen modified release and prednisone in the management of MOH). Healthcare professionals are strongly encouraged to review the Approved Product Information of any medicine before prescribing.). Alternatively, a single-treatment course of prednis(ol)one 50 mg can be taken orally, once daily for 3 days, followed by a gradual dose reduction over 7–10 days before stopping. Additionally, some patients may benefit from chlorpromazine, prochlorperazine or domperidone.

While opioid withdrawal can be attempted using gradual tapering, inpatient treatment is often necessary. Lignocaine or ketamine infusions may be considered as a bridging therapy for these patients, in a specialist service with appropriate monitoring.
The role of preventive treatment for withdrawal headache, and whether this should be started before, during or after withdrawal have been the source of much debate. Recent evidence suggests that preventive treatment from the start of withdrawal may improve compliance and potentially increase remission rates and reversion to episodic migraine.\textsuperscript{19, 20} Detoxification has traditionally been viewed as an essential aspect of MOH treatment. Early experiences indicated that withdrawal alone may significantly improve headaches, and that conventional preventive treatment was ineffective without detoxification.\textsuperscript{19} However, post hoc analyses from some clinical trials suggest that preventive treatment with topiramate or onabotulinumtoxinA, without early or deliberate withdrawal, can be effective in patients with chronic migraine and acute medication overuse.\textsuperscript{21–26}

While interpretation of these findings has been limited by methodological issues, these medications are often used in clinical practice. Furthermore, recent evidence indicates that the use of migraine-preventative medication, without changing acute medication, is just as effective as the use of migraine-preventive medication with

\textbf{Figure 3} Treatment of MOH. MOH, medication overuse headache; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.
CONCLUSIONS
MOH is a complex condition that is likely underdiagnosed and poorly managed in Australia. While withdrawal of the causative acute medication is the treatment of choice in MOH, this can be daunting for patients.

Recent evidence suggests that preventative treatment may help reduce non-compliance with withdrawal and potentially increase MOH remission rates and reversion to episodic migraine status. Coupled with multidisciplinary care, these strategies can help to support patient withdrawal from causative acute migraine medications.
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